

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,073	01/05/2004	Monte D. Mohr	MOHR001	1281	
7590 04/26/2006			EXAMINER		
Monte D. Mohr			PRONE, JASON D		
4300 McNeil Ro Cameron Park,		6682		PAPER NUMBER	
•,			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Bi	ief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/751,073	MOHR, MONTE D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jason Prone	3724 -		

Auvisory Action	10/751,075	WORK, WONTE D.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Jason Prone	3724	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	
THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf ust be filed within one in the final rejection, whi	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following . ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparison.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A bitel in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			he issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	□ will not be entered, or b) □ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected:		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
•8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s).	\cap
13. Other:	Timo	thy V. Eley ry Examiner	X

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060424

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment After Final (1/3/06) was not entered and, therefore, the only claim currently in the case is claim 4. The new amendment after final (2/3/06) does not provide a claim 5 and its reference to the previous amendment after final's claim 5 does qualify since that claim 5 was never enter into the case. Claim 5 was properly not entered into the case due to the reason's given in the last Office action (1/18/06).

BEST AVAILABLE COPY